

1010 devinney Road York, South Carolina

**Grades** 9-12 Career Center

**Enrollment** 804 Students

DirectorRon P. Roveri803-684-1910Board ChairChris Stephnson803-684-2611

Superintendent

Dr. Russell Booker 803-684-9916

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

# **RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD**

| Year | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating |
|------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2008 | Excellent       | Excellent     |
| 2007 | Excellent       | Excellent     |
| 2006 | Excellent       | Good          |
| 2005 | Excellent       | Good          |
| 2004 | Excellent       | Good          |

# **DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS**

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

| 7 BOOLS TE TOTTINGS OF STATEETT SETTLETTS |                |   |                       |   |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Excellent                                 | Excellent Good |   | Average Below Average |   |  |  |  |
| 34  | 2              | 1 | 0                     | 0 |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.

| School Profile  |            |                       |                         |  |
|---|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
|   | Our School | Change from Last Year | Median Career<br>Center |  |
| Students (n=804)  |            |                       |                         |  |
| With disabilities other than speech                       | 9.0%       | Down from 10.1%       | 9.3%                    |  |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 34.0%      | Down from 37.8%       | 20.7%                   |  |
| Enrollment in career/technology courses                   | 804        | Down from 815         | 675                     |  |
| Students participating in work-based experiences          | 13.3%      | Down from 17.2%       | 20.6%                   |  |
| Teachers (n=16)   |            |                       |                         |  |
| Teachers with advanced degrees                            | 50.0%      | Down from 56.3%       | 27.2%                   |  |
| Continuing contract teachers                              | 87.5%      | Down from 93.8%       | 71.9%                   |  |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates       | 0.0%       | No Change             | 19.5%                   |  |
| Teachers returning from previous year                     | 95.6%      | Down from 97.6%       | 92.5%                   |  |
| Teacher attendance rate                                   | 97.8%      | Up from 96.8%         | 95.6%                   |  |
| Average teacher salary                                    | \$52,195   | Up 5.8%               | \$46,693                |  |
| Professional development days/teacher                     | 11.6 days  | Down from 13.6 days   | 13.4 days               |  |
| School  |            |                       |                         |  |
| Director's years at Center                                | 4.0        | Up from 3.0           | 4.0                     |  |
| Dollars spent per pupil*                                  | \$2,240    | Up 15.3%              | \$3,234                 |  |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*             | 59.0%      | Up from 56.3%         | 55.1%                   |  |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction*                  | 71.0%      | Down from 72.6%       | 63.7%                   |  |
| Parents attending conferences                             | 89.3%      | Up from 80.0%         | 84.2%                   |  |
| SACS accreditation  | Yes        | No Change             | Yes                     |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

| Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents          |          |           |          |  |  |  |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
|  | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |  |  |  |
| Number of surveys returned                             | 16       | 157       | 59       |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment            | 93.8%    | 90.4%     | 84.7%    |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 100.0%   | 92.9%     | 82.1%    |  |  |  |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations           | 87.5%    | 91.7%     | 88.1%    |  |  |  |

Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included.

| Performance By                | Student                    | Groups     |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|----------------------------|
|                               | Technical Skill Attainment |            | Gr                         | Graduation Rate |        | Placement Rate             |             |       |                            |
|                               | This Center                |            | State<br>Center<br>Average | This Center     |        | State<br>Center<br>Average | This Center |       | State<br>Center<br>Average |
|                               | n                          | %          | %                          | n               | %      | %                          | n           | %     | %                          |
| All Students                  |                            |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
|                               | 832                        | 85.8%      | 85.2%                      | 249             | 98.8%  | 94.4%                      | 297         | 98.0% | 97.4%                      |
| Students with Disabil         | ities on Dipl              | loma Track |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
|                               | 72                         | 73.6%      | 73.6%                      | 23              | 87.0%  | 73.4%                      | 3           | I/S   | 97.6%                      |
| Gender                        |                            |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
| Male                          | 420                        | 82.1%      | 82.4%                      | 120             | 98.3%  | 93.2%                      | 160         | 98.1% | 98.2%                      |
| Female                        | 412                        | 89.6%      | 88.3%                      | 129             | 99.2%  | 95.6%                      | 137         | 97.8% | 96.5%                      |
| Racial/Ethnic Group           |                            |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
| White                         | 594                        | 87.0%      | 88.5%                      | 167             | 99.4%  | 96.4%                      | 234         | 98.7% | 97.9%                      |
| Africian American             | 185                        | 80.0%      | 79.9%                      | 63              | 96.8%  | 91.6%                      | 55          | 94.6% | 96.3%                      |
| Asian/Pacific<br>Islander     | 17                         | 94.1%      | 91.5%                      | 7               | 100.0% | 94.3%                      | 1           | I/S   | 100.0%                     |
| Hispanic                      | 27                         | 92.6%      | 85.9%                      | 10              | 100.0% | 92.2%                      | 3           | I/S   | 97.7%                      |
| American<br>Indian/Alaskan    | 9                          | 88.9%      | 82.4%                      | 2               | I/S    | 78.6%                      | 3           | I/S   | 96.7%                      |
| Migrant Status                |                            |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
| Migrant                       | 2                          | I/S        | 91.7%                      | N/A             | 100.0% | 100.0%                     | N/AV        | N/AV  | N/AV                       |
| English Proficiency           |                            |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
| Limited English<br>Proficient | 16                         | 93.8%      | 85.1%                      | 149             | 100.0% | 91.9%                      | N/AV        | N/AV  | N/AV                       |
| Socio-Economic Stat           | us                         |            |                            |                 |        |                            |             |       |                            |
| Subsidized meals              | 378                        | 81.0%      | 80.7%                      | 100             | 97.0%  | 91.7%                      | 49          | 95.9% | 95.8%                      |

<sup>\*</sup> n = number of students on which percentage is calculated.

# Definitions of Performance Rating Terms

- Technical Skill Attainment --- The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate --- The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate --- The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

# Report of Director and School Improvement Council

The 2007-2008 school year was another outstanding year for the students, faculty, and staff of Floyd D. Johnson Technology Center. For the seventh consecutive year, Floyd D. Johnson Technology Center earned an Excellent Absolute Rating on the South Carolina State Report Card. For the first time, FDJTC also earned an excellent improvement rating on the state report card. This narrative will highlight several key initiatives that I firmly believe are the reasons for the continued success and achievement of our students at Floyd D. Johnson Technology Center.

Every program offered at FDJTC has at least one instructor that maintains a national industry credential. This enabled every program to become nationally industry certified. Thus, every student has the opportunity to earn a national credential at the end of their program of study. This opens the door for many opportunities for our students. For instance, the number of courses offering dual credit with post-secondary institutions went from two courses last year to nine courses resulting in 114 students earning dual credit this school year. The results of our instructors earning their national credentials led to more instructors and programs qualifying for dual credit with York Technical College. Maintaining industry credentials also forces the instructors to continually update and evaluate their curriculum to ensure industry standards are taught on a daily basis.

Teaching industry standards results in successful competitive events. Floyd D. Johnson Technology Center had 47 students earn third place or higher in state competitive events during the 2007-2008 school year. Three students already earned national awards in Marketing (DECA) and Family and Consumer Science (FCCLA). Students' names and awards are listed in this newsletter. Maintaining Career and Technical Education Clubs in every program of study is vital to the success of Career and Technical Education.

Our focus this year has been to set high expectations and increase the rigor and relevance in all of our programs. This is one of the key practices of the "High Schools That Work" (HSTW) Reform Model. Every instructor at Floyd D. Johnson Technology Center serves on a HSTW Focus team with the core academic instructors. This has led to increased collaboration and integration of the career and technical curriculum with the core academic curriculum resulting in higher expectations, rigor and relevance. Students now understand the "marriage" between theory and application.

Ron P. Roveri, Director Ron Barker, Advisory Chairperson

# No Child Left Behind

# School Adequate Yearly Progress

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average, or Below Average.